Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/916,511	TANAKA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732	

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) *EDMUND H. LEE*. (3) _____.

(2) *MR. KOLASH*. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: *27 May 2005* **Time:** _____

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
THOSE OUTSTANDING IN THE CASE

Claims discussed:
THOSE PENDING IN THE CASE

Prior art documents discussed:
THOSE CITED AS PRIOR ART OF RECORD

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
DISCUSSED THE CLARIFICATION OF CLAIM LANGUAGE IN ORDER TO PLACE THE INSTANT CASE IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. CHANGES WILL BE MADE BY EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT (SEE ATTACHMENT).

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ _____
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)